

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Mathematical Journal

Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal <http://serdica.math.bas.bg>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

UNIQUENESS OF ENTIRE FUNCTION AND ITS LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIAL

Manab Biswas, Dilip Ch. Pramanik

Communicated by I. D. Iliev

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate the uniqueness problem of entire function f and its linear differential polynomial

$$a_k(z) f^{(k)} + a_{k-1}(z) f^{(k-1)} + \cdots + a_1(z) f'$$

sharing an entire function $a \equiv a(z)$ counting multiplicities(CM) with

$$\sigma(a) < \sigma(f)$$

under some restrictions imposed on the coefficients $a_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$). Our result improves and generalizes some earlier results.

1. Introduction and main results. Let f be an entire function in the open complex plane \mathbb{C} . Then the order $\sigma(f)$ and the lower order $\lambda(f)$ of f are respectively defined by

$$\sigma(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}$$

<https://doi.org/10.55630/serdica.2024.50.173-182>

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D35, 34M03, 34M05, 34M05.

Key words: order growth, entire function, value sharing, linear differential polynomial.

and

$$\lambda(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

Also, the hyper order $\sigma_2(f)$ and the hyper lower order $\lambda_2(f)$ are defined respectively by

$$\sigma_2(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}$$

and

$$\lambda_2(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

For an entire function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, the central index $\nu(r, f)$ is the greatest exponent m such that $|a_m| r^m = \mu(r, f)$, where $\mu(r, f) = \max_{n \geq 0} |a_n| r^n$ denotes the maximum term of f on $|z| = r$ (see [8, p. 50]). It is well known (see [8, p. 51]) that

$$\sigma(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \nu(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

Similarly, one can verify that

$$\lambda(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \nu(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

By Lemma 2 in [4] we see that

$$\sigma_2(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \nu(r, f)}{\log r}$$

and in a similar way one can prove that

$$\lambda_2(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \nu(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

Let $u(z)$ be a non-constant sub-harmonic function in the open complex plane. We put $B(r, u) = \sup_{|z|=r} u(z)$. The order $\sigma(u)$ and the lower order $\lambda(u)$ of u are defined by

$$\sigma(u) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log B(r, u)}{\log r}$$

and

$$\lambda(u) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log B(r, u)}{\log r},$$

respectively (see [1]).

Let $E \subset [1, \infty)$ and χ_E be the characteristic function of E . The upper and lower logarithmic densities of E are respectively defined as follows

$$\overline{\log \text{dens}}(E) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_1^r \frac{\chi_E}{t} dt}{\log r}$$

and

$$\underline{\log \text{dens}}(E) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_1^r \frac{\chi_E}{t} dt}{\log r}.$$

The quantity $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^r \frac{\chi_E}{t} dt$ is called the logarithmic measure of E . It is easy to note that $\overline{\log \text{dens}}(E) > 0$, then E has infinite logarithmic measure.

The following definition is well known:

Definition 1. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two entire functions and $a \equiv a(z)$ be also an entire function, which, in particular, may be a constant, if the zeros of $f - a$ and $g - a$ coincide in locations and multiplicities we say that f and g share the value a **CM (counting multiplicities)** and if coincide in locations only we say that f and g share a **IM (ignoring multiplicities)**.

Rubel and Yang ([11]) first studied the uniqueness problem of an entire function sharing two values with its derivative. They proved the following result:

Theorem 1 ([11]). *If a non-constant entire function f and its derivative f' share two distinct finite complex numbers CM, then $f \equiv f'$.*

What can be said for a non-constant entire function f that shares one finite value with its derivative f' ? In this connection Brück [2] gave the following conjecture:

Conjecture. Let f be a non-constant entire function with $\sigma_2(f) < \infty$ and $\sigma_2(f)$ is not a positive integer. If f and f' share a finite value a CM, then $f' - a = c(f - a)$, where c is a nonzero constant.

The conjecture for the case $a = 0$ was resolved by Brück [2]. In 1998, Gunderson and Yang [7] resolved the case where f is of finite order. In 2004,

Chen and Shon [5] resolved it when f is of hyper order $\sigma_2(f) < \frac{1}{2}$. Later, Cao [3] resolved it under the assumption $\sigma_2(f) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Considering arbitrary k -th derivatives $f^{(k)}$ instead of $f^{(1)}$, L. Z. Yang [13] proved the following result:

Theorem 2 ([13]). *Let f be a non-constant entire function of finite order. If f and $f^{(k)}$ share one finite value a CM, then $f^{(k)} - a = c(f - a)$ for some nonzero constant c .*

In 2004, J. P. Wang [12] improved Theorem 2 in the following manner:

Theorem 3 ([12]). *Let f be a non-constant entire function of finite order and a be a non-constant polynomial. If f and $f^{(k)}$ share a CM, then $f^{(k)} - a = c(f - a)$ for some nonzero constant c .*

But, the conjecture still remains open for the case $\sigma_2(f) > \frac{1}{2}$. However, Lahiri and Das [9] obtain the following result, which improves and generalizes some results mentioned above.

Theorem 4 ([9]). *Let f be a non-constant entire function with $\lambda_2(f) < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sigma_2(f) < \infty$. Suppose that $a \equiv a(z)$ is a polynomial. If f and $f^{(k)}$ share a CM, then $f^{(k)} - a = c(f - a)$, where c is a nonzero constant.*

For the entire function f , the expression

$$L[f] = a_k(z) f^{(k)} + a_{k-1}(z) f^{(k-1)} + \cdots + a_1(z) f' + a_0(z) f,$$

is called a linear differential polynomial generated by f of degree k , where k is a positive integer and $a_0(z), a_1(z), \dots, a_k(z)$ are entire coefficients with $a_k(z) \not\equiv 0$.

In this paper we improve and extend the result of Lahiri and Das [9] in which we replace $f^{(k)}$ by $L_1[f] = L[f] - a_0(z) f$ and under some restrictions imposed on $a_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$), we obtain the following result:

Theorem 5. *Let f be a non-constant entire function with $\lambda_2(f) < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sigma_2(f) < \infty$. Suppose that $a \equiv a(z)$ is an entire function with $\sigma(a) < \sigma(f)$. Let $a_0(z)$ and $a_j(z)$ ($j = 1, \dots, k$) be entire functions such that for some constant $\alpha > 0$, we have for all $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, $|a_j(z)| \leq r^{\alpha+\varepsilon}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, as $r = |z| \rightarrow \infty$. If f and $L_1[f] = L[f] - a_0(z) f$ share a CM, then $L_1[f] - a = c(f - a)$, where c is a nonzero constant.*

2. Lemmas. In this section we present some necessary lemmas.

Lemma 1 ([8, p. 9]). *Let $P(z) = b_n z^n + b_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + b_0$ be a polynomial of degree n . Then for every $\epsilon (> 0)$ there exists $R (> 0)$ such that for all $|z| = r > R$, we get $(1 - \epsilon) |b_n| r^n \leq |P(z)| \leq (1 + \epsilon) |b_n| r^n$.*

Lemma 2 ([8, p. 51]). *Let f be a transcendental entire function. Then there exists a set $E \subset (1, \infty)$ with finite logarithmic measure such that for $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ and $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$ we get*

$$\frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)} = (1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{\nu(r, f)}{z} \right)^k.$$

Lemma 3 ([8, p. 51]). *Let $g : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be monotone increasing functions such that $g(r) \leq h(r)$ outside an exceptional set E of finite logarithmic measure. Then for any $\alpha > 1$, there exists $R > 0$ such that $g(r) \leq h(r^\alpha)$ holds for $r > R$.*

Lemma 4 ([10]). *Let f be a transcendental entire function and $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ be of finite logarithmic measure. Then there exists $\{z_m = r_m e^{i\theta_m}\}$ such that $|f(z_m)| = M(r_m, f)$, $\theta_m \notin [0, 2\pi)$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \theta_m = \theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$, $r_m \notin E$, $r_m \rightarrow \infty$,*

(i) *if $0 < \sigma(f) < \infty$, then for given $\epsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large r_m , $r_m^{\sigma(f)-\epsilon} < \nu(r_m, f) < r_m^{\sigma(f)+\epsilon}$;*

(ii) *If $\sigma(f) = \infty$, then for any given $K > 0$ and sufficiently large r_m , $\nu(r_m, f) > r_m^K$.*

Lemma 5 ([1]). *Let $u(z)$ be a non-constant sub-harmonic function in the open complex plane \mathbb{C} of lower order λ , $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. If $\lambda < \alpha < 1$, then*

$$\overline{\log \text{dens}} \{r : A(r) > (\cos \alpha \pi) B(r)\} \geq 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\alpha},$$

where $A(r) = \inf_{|z|=r} u(z)$ and $B(r) = \sup_{|z|=r} u(z)$.

Remark 1. Since for an entire function Q , $\log |Q(z)|$ is a sub-harmonic function in \mathbb{C} [6, p. 394], we can apply Lemma 5 to the function $u(z) = \log |Q(z)|$.

3. Proof of Theorem 5.

Proof. Since $L_1[f] - a$ and $f - a$ share 0 CM, there exists an entire function Q such that

$$(1) \quad \frac{L_1[f] - a}{f - a} = e^Q.$$

If Q is a constant, then we are done. So we suppose that Q is non-constant and consider the following cases:

Case 1. Let $\sigma(f) < \infty$. Then from (1) we see that Q is a polynomial. Further $\sigma(f) \geq 1$, because if $\sigma(f) < 1$, then (1) implies that Q is a constant. Therefore, f is transcendental. Since $a(z)$ is an entire function with $\sigma(a) < \sigma(f)$, we get

$$(2) \quad \left| \frac{a(z)}{f(z)} \right| < \frac{M(r, a)}{M(r, f)} \rightarrow 0$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

From (1) we get

$$(3) \quad e^Q = \frac{\frac{L_1[f]}{f} - \frac{a}{f}}{1 - \frac{a}{f}}.$$

Now by Lemma 2, there exists a set $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ with finite logarithmic measure such that for all large $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ and $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$, we get

$$(4) \quad \frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{f(z)} = \left\{ \frac{\nu(r, f)}{z} \right\}^j (1 + o(1)), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$,

By the hypothesis of Theorem 5, for any given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a value $r_1 > 0$ such that

$$(5) \quad |a_j(z)| \leq r^{\alpha+\epsilon}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k; \quad r \geq r_1, \quad \text{as } r = |z| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now from (2), (3), (4) and (5) for all large $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ with $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Q(z)| &= \left| \log e^{Q(z)} \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \log \left(\left| \frac{\nu(r, f)}{z} \right|^\mu \right) \right| + (\alpha + \epsilon) \log r + o(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = 1$ or k according as $\nu(r, f) < r$ or $\nu(r, f) > r$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Q(z)| &< \mu \log \nu(r, f) + (\mu + \alpha + \epsilon) \log r + o(1) \\
 (6) \qquad &< 2(\mu + \alpha + \epsilon) (\sigma(f) + 1) \log r + o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, by Lemma 1 for all large $|z| = r$ we obtain

$$(7) \qquad \frac{1}{2} |d| r^{\deg Q} \leq |Q(z)|,$$

where d is the leading coefficient of Q .

Now from (6) and (7) we get $\deg Q = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. Let $\sigma(f) = \infty$. We note from (1) that $\lambda(Q) \leq \lambda_2(f) < \frac{1}{2}$. We now consider the following subcases :

Subcase 2.1. Let Q be a polynomial. Then f is transcendental. By Lemma 4, there exists $\{z_m = r_m e^{i\theta_m}\}$ with $|f(z_m)| = M(r_m, f)$, $\theta_m \notin [0, 2\pi)$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \theta_m = \theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$, $r_m \notin [0, 1] \cup E$, such that for sufficiently large $K > 0$ and sufficiently large r_m , we have

$$(8) \qquad \nu(r_m, f) > r_m^K.$$

Now from (2), (3), (4) and (8) for sufficiently large $|z_m| = r_m \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ with $|f(z_m)| = M(r_m, f)$ we get

$$e^{Q(z_m)} = a_k \left(\frac{\nu(r_m, f)}{z_m} \right)^k (1 + o(1)).$$

Using (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Q(z_m)| &= \left| \log e^{Q(z_m)} \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \log \left(\left| \frac{\nu(r_m, f)}{z_m} \right|^k \right) \right| + (\alpha + \epsilon) \log r_m + o(1) \\
 (9) \qquad &< k \log \nu(r_m, f) + (k + \alpha + \epsilon) \log r_m + o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

So from (7) and (9) for sufficiently large $|z_m| = r_m \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ we get

$$\frac{1}{2} |d| r_m^{\deg Q} < k \log \nu(r_m, f) + (k + \alpha + \epsilon) \log r_m + o(1).$$

Hence by Lemma 3 for given β , $1 < \beta < \frac{3}{2}$, for all large values of r_m we get

$$\frac{1}{2} |d| r_m^{\deg Q} < k \log \nu(r_m^\beta, f) + \beta (k + \alpha + \epsilon) \log r_m + o(1)$$

and so

$$r_m^{\deg Q} \left(\frac{1}{2} |d| - \frac{\beta (k + \alpha + \epsilon) \log r}{r_m^{\deg Q}} \right) \leq k \log \nu(r_m^\beta, f) + o(1).$$

This implies $\deg Q \leq \beta \lambda_2(f) < \frac{\beta}{2} < 1$, which is a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2. Let Q be a transcendental entire function. We see by Remark 1 that $u(z) = \log |Q(z)|$ is a sub harmonic function and also $\lambda(u) = \lambda(Q) < \frac{1}{2}$. Suppose that $H = \{r : A(r) > (\cos \alpha\pi) B(r)\}$, where $A(r) = \inf_{|z|=r} \log |Q(z)|$,

$$B(r) = \sup_{|z|=r} \log |Q(z)| \text{ and } \lambda(Q) < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then by Lemma 5, H has infinite logarithmic measure. Also, by Lemma 2 for $|z| = r \in H \setminus \{[0, 1] \cup E\}$ with $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$ we get (4).

Now by using the same reasoning as before for sufficiently large $|z_m| = r_m \in H \setminus \{[0, 1] \cup E\}$ with $|f(z_m)| = M(r_m, f)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(z)| &< k \log \nu(r_m, f) + (k + \alpha + \epsilon) \log r_m + o(1) \\ (10) \qquad &< 2(k + \alpha + \epsilon) r_m^{\sigma_2(f)+1}. \end{aligned}$$

So by (10) and by Lemma 5 there exists a constant t , $0 \leq t \leq 1$, such that $(M(r_m, Q))^t \leq 2(k + \alpha + \epsilon) r_m^{\sigma_2(f)+1}$ for all large values of $|z_m| = r_m \in H \setminus \{[0, 1] \cup E\}$ and $|f(z_m)| = M(r_m, f)$. This is impossible because Q is transcendental and so $\lim_{r_m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(M(r_m, Q))^t}{r_m^{\sigma_2(f)+1}} = \infty$. This proves the Theorem. \square

4. Conclusions. In the preceding discussion, several thought-provoking questions emerged naturally:

- What outcomes can we anticipate in Theorem 5 for cases where the hyper-lower order of f exceeds $1/2$ or the hyper-order of f is infinite?

- Can we modify the growth constraints on f and the entire coefficients $a_j(z)$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$) in Theorem 5 while still preserving the desired sharing property?
- If we choose non-linear differential polynomials and expand our considerations to include higher-order growth conditions, such as the iterated p -order growth of f in Theorem 5, will the established conclusion remain valid?

These unresolved questions demand closer examination and continued research.

Acknowledgments. The authors sincerely acknowledge the learned referee for his/her valuable comments towards the improvement of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. D. BARRY. On a theorem of Kjellberg. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **15** (1964), 179–191.
- [2] R. BRÜCK. On entire functions which share one value CM with their first derivative. *Results Math.* **30**, 1–2 (1996), 21–24.
- [3] T. CAO. On the Brück conjecture. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **93**, 2 (2016), 248–259.
- [4] Z. X. CHEN, C. C. YANG. Some further results on the zeros and growths of entire solutions of second order linear differential equations. *Kodai Math. J.* **22**, 2 (1999), 273–285.
- [5] Z. X. CHEN, K. H. SHON. On conjecture of R. Brück concerning the entire function sharing one value CM with its derivative. *Taiwanese J. Math.* **8**, 2 (2004), 235–244.
- [6] T. W. GAMELIN. *Complex Analysis. Undergrad. Texts Math.* New York, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [7] G. G. GUNDERSEN, L. Z. YANG. Entire functions that share one value with one or two of their derivatives, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **223**, 1 (1998), 88–95.
- [8] I. LAINE. *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations.* De Gruyter Stud. Math., vol. **15**, Berlin, Walter de Gruyter & Co., 1993.

- [9] I. LAHIRI, S. DAS. A note on a conjecture of R. Brück. *Appl. Math. E-Notes*, **21** (2021), 152–156.
- [10] Z. MAO. Uniqueness theorems on entire functions and their linear differential polynomials. *Results Math.* **55**, 3–4 (2009), 447–456.
- [11] L. A. RUBEL, C. C. YANG. Values shared by an entire function and its derivative. *Lecture Notes in Math.* vol. **599**. Berlin-New York, Springer-Verlag, 1977, 101–103.(1977).
- [12] J. P. WANG. Entire functions that share a polynomial with one of their derivatives. *Kodai Math. J.* **27**, 2 (2004), 144–151.
- [13] L. Z. YANG. Solution of a differential equation and its applications. *Kodai Math. J.* **22**, 3 (1999), 458–464.

Manab Biswas

Department of Mathematics

Kalimpong College

P.O. Kalimpong, Dist-Kalimpong

PIN-734301, West Bengal, India

e-mail: dr.manabbiswas@gmail.com

Dilip Ch. Pramanik

Department of Mathematics

University of North Bengal

Raja Rammohanpur, Dist-Darjeeling

PIN-734013, West Bengal, India

e-mail: dcpramanik.nbu2012@gmail.com

Received November 14, 2023

Accepted June 3, 2024